

Kustin–Miller unprojection with complexes

Stavros Papadakis

November 2001

Abstract

A main ingredient for Kustin–Miller unprojection, as developed in [PR], is the module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(I, \omega_R)$, where R is a local Gorenstein ring and I a codimension one ideal with R/I Gorenstein. We prove a method of calculating it in a relative setting using resolutions. We give three applications. In the first we generalise a result of [CFHR]. The second and the third are about Tom and Jerry, two families of Gorenstein codimension four rings with 9×16 resolutions.

1 Introduction

Kustin–Miller unprojection, as developed in [KM] and [PR], is a method for constructing a birationally equivalent Gorenstein scheme Y to a Gorenstein scheme X (containing some codimension one subscheme D). A useful variant of this is a global version for a projectively Gorenstein scheme, producing a birationally equivalent projective scheme. It has found many applications in algebraic geometry, for example in the birational geometry of Fanos [T], [CPR] and [CM], in the construction of weighted complete intersection K3s and Fanos [Al], and in the study of Mori flips [BrR] (compare also [Ki]). In all cases, the algebra of the problem was relatively simple and was handled with ad hoc methods.

In the present work we present systematic tools that calculate the unprojection in more complicated cases, by relating the conceptual approach based on the adjunction formula of [PR] with the complex oriented view of [KM].

To demonstrate our methods, we study three important cases of unprojection. The first and simplest (Section 4) is the unprojection of a complete intersection inside a complete intersection, where we generalise a result of [CFHR].

The Tom and Jerry families of unprojection (Section 5), which were defined and named by Reid, play a more important role in algebraic geometry because they appear in hundreds of known examples; it is an open problem ([Ki], Problem 8.3) whether all the currently known Gorenstein codimension 4 rings with 9×16 resolution can be accommodated within these two structures.

Our main results concerning Tom and Jerry are Theorems 5.6 and 5.14, where we calculate their unprojections using multilinear and homological algebra. An interesting observation is that they can be considered as a kind of deformation of two standard models: the graded rings of the Segre embeddings of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ and of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ respectively; these standard models play an important part in our arguments. For more open problems and further discussion of Tom and Jerry compare [Ki] Section 8.

Finally, in Section 6 we state some general remarks about the calculation of unprojection.

2 Notation

Gorenstein ideals In the present work, an ideal I of a Gorenstein ring S is called Gorenstein when the quotient ring S/I is Gorenstein.

Pfaffians Assume $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a $k \times k$ skewsymmetric (i.e., $a_{ji} = -a_{ij}$ and $a_{ii} = 0$) matrix with entries in a Noetherian ring S .

For k even we define a polynomial $\text{Pf}(A)$ in a_{ij} called *Pfaffian* of A by induction on k . If $k = 2$ we set

$$\text{Pf}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ -a_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = a_{12}.$$

For even $k \geq 4$ we define

$$\text{Pf}(A) = \sum_{j=2}^k (-1)^j a_{1j} \text{Pf}(A_{1j}),$$

where A_{1j} is the skewsymmetric submatrix of A obtained by deleting the first and the j th row and column of A . An interesting property is that

$$(\text{Pf}(A))^2 = \det A.$$

Now assume that $k = 2l + 1$ is odd. In the present work, by *Pfaffians* of A we mean the set

$$\{\text{Pf}(A_1), \text{Pf}(A_2), \dots, \text{Pf}(A_k)\},$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq k$ we denote by A_i the skewsymmetric submatrix of A obtained by deleting the i th row and column of A . Moreover, there is a complex $\mathbf{L}$:

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{B_3} S^k \xrightarrow{B_2} S^k \xrightarrow{B_1} S \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

associated to A , with $B_2 = A$, B_1 the $1 \times k$ matrix with i th entry equal to $(-1)^{i+1} \text{Pf}(A_i)$ and B_3 the transpose matrix of B_1 . We will use the following theorem due to Eisenbud and Buchsbaum [BE].

Theorem 2.1 *Let S be a Noetherian ring, $k = 2l + 1$ an odd integer and A a skewsymmetric $k \times k$ matrix with entries in S . Denote by I the ideal generated by the Pfaffians of A . Assume that $I \neq S$ and the grade of I is three, the maximal possible. Then the complex $\mathbf{L}$ is acyclic, in the sense that the complex*

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{B_3} S^k \xrightarrow{B_2} S^k \xrightarrow{B_1} S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. In addition, if S is Gorenstein then the same is true for S/I .

For more details about Pfaffians and a proof of the theorem see e.g. [BE] or [BH] Section 3.4.

3 Calculation of Hom module

Let S be a Gorenstein local ring and $I \subset J$ perfect (therefore Cohen–Macaulay) ideals of codimensions r and $r + 1$ respectively. Unless otherwise indicated, all Hom and Ext modules and maps are over S .

Since S/I is Cohen–Macaulay, the adjunction formula (compare [R1] p. 708 or [AK] p. 6) gives

$$\omega_{S/J} = \text{Ext}_{S/I}^1(S/J, \omega_{S/I}).$$

We Hom (over the ring S/I) the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J/I \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J \rightarrow 0$ with $\omega_{S/I}$ to get the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{S/I} \xrightarrow{a} \text{Hom}_{S/I}(J/I, \omega_{S/I}) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \omega_{S/J} \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with a the natural map

$$a(x)(l) = lx, \quad \text{for all } l \in J \text{ and } x \in \omega_{S/I}.$$

In the following we identify $\omega_{S/I}$ with its image under a .

Let

$$\mathbf{L} \rightarrow S/I, \quad \mathbf{M} \rightarrow S/J \quad (3.2)$$

be minimal resolutions as S -modules. According to [FOV] Proposition A.2.12, the dual complexes

$$\mathbf{L}^* \rightarrow \omega_{S/I}, \quad \mathbf{M}^* \rightarrow \omega_{S/J} \quad (3.3)$$

are also minimal resolutions, where $*$ means $\text{Hom}(-, S)$. More precisely we have an exact sequence

$$M_r^* \xrightarrow{b} M_{r+1}^* \xrightarrow{c} \omega_{S/J} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.4)$$

and we set

$$T = \ker c. \quad (3.5)$$

For simplicity of notation, since M_{r+1}^* is free of rank (say) l , we identify it with S^l , so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow S^l \xrightarrow{c} \omega_{S/J} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.6)$$

For the canonical base $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in S^l$, we fix liftings

$$q_i \in \text{Hom}(J, \omega_{S/I}) \quad (3.7)$$

of the basis $\bar{e}_i = c(e_i) \in \omega_{S/J}$, under the map res of (3.1). Notice that $\text{Hom}(J, \omega_{S/I})$ is generated by $\omega_{S/I}$ together with $q_1, \dots, q_l$. Moreover, clearly

$$T = \{(b_1, \dots, b_l) \in S^l : \sum_i b_i q_i \in \omega_{S/I}\}. \quad (3.8)$$

We denote by $s_i: J \rightarrow T$ the map with

$$s_i(t) = (0, \dots, 0, t, 0, \dots, 0),$$

t in the i th coordinate. Then we define

$$\Phi: \text{Hom}(T, \omega_{S/I}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(J, \omega_{S/I})^l$$

with

$$\Phi(e) = (e \circ s_1, \dots, e \circ s_l).$$

Lemma 3.1 *The map Φ is injective, with image equal to*

$$L = \{(k_1, \dots, k_l) : \sum_i b_i k_i \in \omega_{S/I} \text{ whenever } (b_1, \dots, b_l) \in T\}.$$

Proof First of all notice that since S/I is Cohen–Macaulay, there exists $t \in J$ that is S/I -regular. Since $\omega_{S/I}$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay S/I -module, t is also $\omega_{S/I}$ -regular (compare e.g. [Ei] p. 529). Assume $e \circ s_i = e' \circ s_i$ for all i and let $b' = (b_1, \dots, b_l) \in T$. Then

$$te(b') = e(tb') = \sum_i b_i e \circ s_i(t) = \sum_i b_i e' \circ s_i(t) = te'(b'),$$

and since t is $\omega_{S/I}$ -regular we have $e = e'$. Moreover, this also shows that the image of ϕ is contained in L .

Now consider $(k_1, \dots, k_l) \in L$. Define $e: T \rightarrow \omega_{S/I}$ with $e(b_1, \dots, b_l) = \sum b_i k_i$. Then $e \circ s_i(t) = tk_i = k_i(t)$, so $\Phi(e) = (k_1, \dots, k_l)$. QED

Now we present a method, originally developed in [KM], and prove that it calculates a set of generators for

$$\mathrm{Hom}(J, \omega_{S/I}) / \omega_{S/I},$$

which was conjectured by Reid.

The natural map $S/I \rightarrow S/J$ induces a map of complexes $\psi: \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ and the dual map $\psi^*: \mathbf{M}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^*$. Using (3.3), we get a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_{r-1}^* & \longrightarrow & M_r^* & \xrightarrow{b} & M_{r+1}^* & \xrightarrow{c} & \omega_{S/J} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ L_{r-1}^* & \longrightarrow & L_r^* & \longrightarrow & \omega_{S/I} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

therefore, by the definition of T , we have an induced map $\psi^*: T \rightarrow \omega_{S/I}$. Notice that this map is not canonical, but depends on the choice of ψ ; we fix one such choice. Set

$$\Phi(\psi^*) = (k_1, \dots, k_l).$$

Theorem 3.2 *The S -module $\mathrm{Hom}_S(J, \omega_{S/I})$ is generated by $\omega_{S/I}$ together with $k_1, \dots, k_l$.*

Proof Since $\omega_{S/I}$ together with the q_i of (3.7) generate $\mathrm{Hom}(J, \omega_{S/I})$, there are equations

$$k_i = \sum_j a_{ij} q_j + \theta_i, \quad a_{ij} \in S, \quad \theta_i \in \omega_{S/I}. \quad (3.9)$$

Clearly $(q_i), (\theta_i)$ are in the image of Φ , which by Lemma 3.1 is equal to L ; set $(q_i) = \Phi(Q)$, $(\theta_i) = \Phi(\Theta)$. Define the map $f_0: S^l \rightarrow S^l$ with

$$f_0(b_1, \dots, b_l) = (b_1, \dots, b_l)[a_{ij}].$$

Using (3.8), T is invariant under f_0 , so there is an induced map $f_1: T \rightarrow T$ and, using (3.6), a second induced map $f_2 \in \text{Hom}(\omega_{S/J}, \omega_{S/J})$, with $f_2(\bar{e}_i) = \sum_j a_{ij} \bar{e}_j$. We will show that f_2 is an automorphism of $\omega_{S/J}$, which will prove the theorem.

Using (3.2), (3.3) and the definition (3.5) of T , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^{r+1}(\omega_{S/J}, S) &= \text{Ext}^r(T, S) = S/J, \\ \text{Ext}^r(\omega_{S/I}, S) &= S/I. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by [BH] Theorem 3.3.11 the natural map $S/J \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\omega_{S/J}, \omega_{S/J})$ is an isomorphism. This, together with the formal properties of the Ext functor, imply that the natural map

$$\text{Ext}^{r+1}(-, \text{id}_S): \text{Hom}(\omega_{S/J}, \omega_{S/J}) \rightarrow S/J = \text{Hom}(S/J, S/J)$$

is the identity $S/J \rightarrow S/J$. Therefore it is enough to show that $\text{Ext}^{r+1}(f_2)$ is a unit in S/J , and by (3.6) $\text{Ext}^{r+1}(f_2) = \text{Ext}^r(f_1)$, where for simplicity of notation we denote $\text{Ext}^*(-, \text{id}_S)$ by $\text{Ext}^*(-)$.

By (3.9) and the injectivity of Φ (Lemma 3.1)

$$\psi^* = Q \circ f_1 + \Theta; \tag{3.10}$$

hence

$$\text{Ext}^r(\psi^*) = \text{Ext}^r(f_1) \text{Ext}^r(Q) + \text{Ext}^r(\Theta)$$

as maps $S/I \rightarrow S/J$. Since Θ can be extended to a map $S^l \rightarrow \omega_{S/I}$, $\text{Ext}^r(\Theta) = 0$. Moreover, by the construction of ψ^* , $\text{Ext}^r(\psi^*) = 1 \in S/J$. This implies that $\text{Ext}^r(f_1)$ is a unit in S/J , which finishes the proof. QED

The arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.2 also prove the more general

Theorem 3.3 *Let*

$$f: T \rightarrow \omega_{S/I}$$

be an S -homomorphism, and set $f_i = f \circ s_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Then $\omega_{S/I}$ together with $f_1, \dots, f_l$ generate $\text{Hom}(J, \omega_{S/I})$ if and only if the map

$$\text{Ext}^r(f): S/I \rightarrow S/J$$

is surjective.

4 Unprojection of a complete intersection inside a complete intersection

Let S be a Gorenstein local ring and $I \subset J$ ideals of S , of codimensions r and $r + 1$ respectively. We assume that each is generated by a regular sequence, say

$$I = (v_1, \dots, v_r), \quad J = (w_1, \dots, w_{r+1}). \quad (4.1)$$

Since $I \subset J$, there exists an $r \times (r + 1)$ matrix Q with

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_r \end{pmatrix} = Q \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_{r+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Definition 4.1 $\bigwedge^r Q$ is the $1 \times (r + 1)$ matrix whose i th entry $(\bigwedge^r Q)_i$ is $(-1)^{i+1}$ times the determinant of the submatrix of Q obtained by removing the i th column.

Lemma 4.2 (Cramer's rule) *For all i, j the element*

$$(\bigwedge^r Q)_i w_j - (\bigwedge^r Q)_j w_i$$

belongs to the ideal $(v_1, \dots, v_r)$.

Proof Simple linear algebra. QED

We define $g_i \in S$ by

$$\bigwedge^r Q = (g_1, \dots, g_{r+1}).$$

The special case $r = 2$ of the following theorem was proven by direct methods in [CFHR] Lemma 6.11.

Theorem 4.3 $\text{Hom}_{S/I}(J/I, S/I)$ is generated as S/I -module by two elements id and s , where

$$s(w_i) = g_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r + 1.$$

Proof Since S/I is Gorenstein, we have $\omega_{S/I} = S/I$. By Lemma 4.2 s is well defined. Consider the minimal Koszul complexes corresponding to the generators given in (4.1) that resolve $S/I, S/J$ as S -modules,

$$\mathbf{L} \rightarrow S/I, \quad \mathbf{M} \rightarrow S/J.$$

The matrix Q can be considered as a map $L_1 \rightarrow M_1$ making the following square commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_1 & \xrightarrow{(v_1, \dots, v_r)} & L_0 = S \\ Q^t \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{(w_1, \dots, w_{r+1})} & M_0 = S \end{array}$$

There are induced maps $\bigwedge^n Q^t: L_n \rightarrow M_n$, $\bigwedge^0 Q^t = \text{id}_S$ (compare e.g. [BH] Proposition 1.6.8), giving a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L} & \longrightarrow & S/I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{M} & \longrightarrow & S/J \end{array}$$

The last nonzero map is given by $\bigwedge^r Q^t$; therefore using Theorem 3.2 the result follows. QED

Remark 4.4 Using [PR] Theorem 1.5, the ideal $(v_i, Tw_j - g_j)$ of the polynomial ring $S[T]$ is Gorenstein. It will be interesting to find a geometric proof of this result.

5 Tom & Jerry

5.1 Definition of Tom

Assume S is a commutative Noetherian ring and $a_{ij}^k, x_k, z_k \in S$ for $1 \leq k \leq 4$, $2 \leq i < j \leq 5$, and consider the 5×5 skewsymmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} . & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ & . & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{25} \\ & & . & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ -\text{sym} & & & . & a_{45} \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_{ij}^k z_k.$$

The *Tom ideal* corresponding to A is the ideal $I \subset S$ generated by the Pfaffians of A . Notice that $I \subset J = (z_1, \dots, z_4)$, as we shall see this is (under genericity conditions) an unprojection pair.

If S is the polynomial ring over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with indeterminates a_{ij}^k, x_k, z_k (indices as above) we call I the *generic integral Tom ideal*.

5.2 The generic integral Tom ideal is prime

Theorem 5.1 *The generic integral Tom ideal I is prime of codimension three and S/I is Gorenstein.*

We prove the theorem following the ideas of [BV] Chapter 2. Set $R = S/I$ and $X = \text{Spec } R$. We will prove that R is a domain.

Lemma 5.2 *For all $i = 1, \dots, 4$ the element $z_i \in R$ is not nilpotent. Moreover, $R[z_i^{-1}]$ is a domain and $\dim R[z_i^{-1}] = 29$.*

Proof Due to symmetry, it is enough to prove it for z_1 . By the form of the generators of I it follows immediately that $z_1 \in R$ is not nilpotent. Consider the ring

$$T = k[z_1][z_1^{-1}][x_1, \dots, x_4, z_2, z_3, z_4][a_{ij}^k],$$

and the two skewsymmetric matrices N_1 and N_2 with

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ & \cdot & a_{23}^1 & a_{24}^1 & a_{25}^1 \\ & & \cdot & a_{34}^1 & a_{35}^1 \\ -\text{sym} & & & \cdot & a_{45}^1 \\ & & & & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

and $N_2 = A$, the generic Tom matrix defined in (5.1). Denote by I_i the ideal of T generated by the Pfaffians of N_i for $i = 1, 2$. Consider the automorphism $f: T \rightarrow T$ that is the identity on $k[z_1][z_1^{-1}][x_1, \dots, x_4, z_2, z_3, z_4]$, $f(a_{ij}^t) = a_{ij}^t$ if $t \neq 1$ and $f(a_{ij}^1) = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_{ij}^k z_k$ (f is automorphism since z_1 is invertible in T). Because N_1 is the generic skewsymmetric matrix, I_1 is prime of

codimension 3 (see e.g. [KL]). Hence $I_2 = f(I_1)$ is also prime of codimension 3, which proves the lemma. QED

Set $U_i = \text{Spec } R[z_i^{-1}] \subset X$, by Lemma 5.2 U_i is irreducible. Since the prime ideal $(x_k, a_{ij}^k) \subset R$ is in the intersection of the U_i , we have that $V = \cup U_i$ is also irreducible of dimension 29. In addition, $X \setminus V = \text{Spec } S/J$ has dimension 28, where $J = (z_1, \dots, z_4) \subset S$. Therefore, X has dimension 29, so I has codimension three. Since I is generated by Pfaffians, Theorem 2.1 implies that $R = S/I$ is Gorenstein. It follows that I is unmixed, so J is not contained in any associated prime of I .

Since $R[z_1^{-1}]$ is a domain, there is exactly one associated prime ideal P of R such that $z_1 \notin P$. If P is the single associated prime ideal of R , then z_1 is a regular element of R and R is also a domain. Suppose there is a second associated ideal $Q \neq P$. By what we have stated above and since $z_1 \in Q$, there is some $z_i \notin Q$. Since $R[z_i^{-1}]$ is a domain, it follows as before that $z_i \in P$. Now $PR[z_1^{-1}] = 0$, but the image of z_i in $PR[z_1^{-1}]$ is different from 0 (otherwise $z_i z_1^t \in I$ which clearly doesn't happen), a contradiction which finishes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

5.3 Fundamental calculation for Tom

In this section $I \subset S$ is the generic integral Tom ideal defined in Subsection 5.1.

Explicitly, $I = (P_0, \dots, P_4)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= a_{23}a_{45} - a_{24}a_{35} + a_{25}a_{34} \\ P_1 &= x_2a_{45} - x_3a_{35} + x_4a_{34} \\ P_2 &= x_1a_{45} - x_3a_{25} + x_4a_{24} \\ P_3 &= x_1a_{35} - x_2a_{25} + x_4a_{23} \\ P_4 &= x_1a_{34} - x_2a_{24} + x_3a_{23} \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Clearly $I \subset J = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$.

Since each P_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, is linear in z_j , there exists (unique) 4×4 matrix Q independent of the z_j such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ \vdots \\ P_4 \end{pmatrix} = Q \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.3}$$

We denote by Q_i the i th row of Q , and by $\widehat{Q}_i$ the submatrix of Q obtained by deleting the i th row. Since (compare (2.1))

$$x_4 P_4 = x_1 P_1 - x_2 P_2 + x_3 P_3,$$

and Q is independent of the z_j , it follows that

$$x_4 Q_4 = x_1 Q_1 - x_2 Q_2 + x_3 Q_3. \quad (5.4)$$

For $i = 1, \dots, 4$ we define a 1×4 matrix H_i by

$$H_i = \bigwedge^3 \widehat{Q}_i,$$

where $\bigwedge$ as in Definition 4.1.

Lemma 5.3 *For all i, j*

$$x_i H_j = x_j H_i.$$

Proof Equation (5.4) implies, for example, that

$$\bigwedge^3 \widehat{Q}_3 = \bigwedge^3 \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ Q_4 \end{pmatrix} = \bigwedge^3 \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ \frac{x_3}{x_4} Q_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{x_3}{x_4} \bigwedge^3 \widehat{Q}_4.$$

QED

Using the previous lemma we can define four polynomials g_i by

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4) = \frac{H_j}{x_j} \quad (5.5)$$

and this definition is independent of the choice of j .

Lemma 5.4 *For all i, j*

$$g_i z_j - g_j z_i \in I.$$

Proof The definition (5.3) of Q implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ Q_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

By Cramer's rule (Lemma 4.2)

$$(H_4)_i z_j - (H_4)_j z_i \in I,$$

as a consequence

$$x_4(g_i z_j - g_j z_i) \in I.$$

I is prime by Theorem 5.1, so the result follows. QED

5.4 Resolution of Tom

We use the notations of Subsection 5.3.

Consider the Koszul complex $\mathbf{M}$ that gives a resolution of the ring S/J

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{B_4} S^4 \xrightarrow{B_3} S^6 \xrightarrow{B_2} S^4 \xrightarrow{B_1} S \rightarrow 0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4), \\ B_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -z_2 & -z_3 & 0 & -z_4 & 0 & 0 \\ z_1 & 0 & -z_3 & 0 & -z_4 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & -z_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 \\ -z_2 & 0 & z_4 & 0 \\ z_1 & 0 & 0 & z_4 \\ 0 & -z_2 & -z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 & 0 & -z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & z_1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_4 = (-z_4, z_3, -z_2, z_1)^t.$$

Moreover, the skewsymmetric matrix A defines as in (2.1) a complex $\mathbf{L}$:

$$0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{C_3} S^5 \xrightarrow{C_2} S^5 \xrightarrow{C_1} S \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.6)$$

resolving the ring S/I . Here $C_2 = A, C_1 = (P_0, -P_1, P_2, -P_3, P_4)$, and C_3 is the transpose matrix of C_1 . Define the 4×1 matrix D_3 with

$$D_3 = (-g_4, g_3, -g_2, g_1)^t,$$

where the g_i are as in (5.5).

Theorem 5.5 *There exist matrices D_2, D_1, D_0 (of suitable sizes) making the following diagram commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{C_3} & S^5 & \xrightarrow{C_2} & S^5 & \xrightarrow{C_1} & S \\ & & D_3 \downarrow & & D_2 \downarrow & & D_1 \downarrow & & D_0 \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{B_4} & S^4 & \xrightarrow{B_3} & S^6 & \xrightarrow{B_2} & S^4 & \xrightarrow{B_1} & S \end{array}$$

In addition we can assume that $D_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof As in (3.3), the dual complexes

$$S^* \rightarrow S^{5*} \rightarrow S^{5*} \rightarrow S^*$$

and

$$S^* \rightarrow S^{4*} \rightarrow S^{6*} \rightarrow S^{4*}$$

are exact. Using Lemma 5.4, there exists D_2^* making the (dual) square commutative. Then, the existence of D_1^* and D_0^* follows by simple homological algebra.

We get $D_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ by checking the degrees in the commutative diagram. The fact that we can take $D_0 = 1$ will be proved in the next subsection. QED

5.5 Original Tom

Write $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ for the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (all schemes are over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$). It is easy to see that Y is projectively Gorenstein of codimension four. The defining equations are $\text{rank } L \leq 1$, where L is the generic 3×3 matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} a & x_3 & x_4 \\ x_1 & z_1 & z_2 \\ x_2 & z_3 & z_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $a, x_1, \dots, x_4, z_1, \dots, z_4$ are indeterminates. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ be the image of the projection of Y from the point $((1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0)) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$. Clearly, the ideal of X is generated by the five polynomials (the five minors of L *not* involving a)

$$I(X) = (x_3z_2 - x_4z_1, x_3z_4 - x_4z_3, x_1z_3 - x_2z_1, x_1z_4 - x_2z_2).$$

These are the Pfaffians of the skewsymmetric 5×5 matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} . & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ & . & 0 & z_1 & z_2 \\ & & . & z_3 & z_4 \\ & & & 0 & . \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.7)$$

We call X the *original Tom*. X contains the complete intersection D (the exceptional locus of the inverse map to the projection $Y \dashrightarrow X$), with $I(D) = (z_1, \dots, z_4)$.

Equations (5.5) specialize to

$$g_1 = x_1x_3, \quad g_2 = x_1x_4, \quad g_3 = x_2x_3, \quad g_4 = x_2x_4.$$

An easy calculation using (the specialization of) the complexes $\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{M}$ defined in Section 5.4 gives that we can take in the specialization of the diagram of Theorem 5.5

$$D'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -z_4 & 0 & x_4 & 0 & -x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_3 & x_2 & 0 \\ z_2 & -x_4 & 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & x_3 & 0 & -x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $D'_0 = 1$.

End of proof of Theorem 5.5 Using the uniqueness up to homotopy of a map between resolutions of modules induced by a fixed map between the modules, the last part of Theorem 5.5 follows from $D'_0 = 1$.

5.6 Local Tom

Let S be a Gorenstein local ring, $a_{ij}^k \in S$ and $x_k, z_k \in m$, the maximal ideal of S , with indices as in Subsection 5.1. Let A be the skewsymmetric matrix defined in (5.1), I the ideal generated by the Pfaffians of A (see (5.2)) and $J = (z_1, \dots, z_4)$.

We assume that $z_1, \dots, z_4$ is a regular sequence and that I has codimension three, the maximal possible. Since S is Cohen–Macaulay, the grade of I is also three. By Theorem 2.1, the complex $\mathbf{L}$ defined in (5.6) is the minimal resolution of S/I and S/I is Gorenstein.

Recall that in (5.5) we defined elements g_i which are polynomials of a_{ij}^k and x_k . Define a map $\psi: J/I \rightarrow S/I$ with $z_i \mapsto g_i$. By res we denote the residue map defined in (3.1).

Theorem 5.6 *The element $\text{res}(\psi) \in S/J$ is a unit, and the ideal*

$$(P_0, \dots, P_4, Tz_1 - g_1, \dots, Tz_4 - g_4) \quad (5.8)$$

of the polynomial ring $S[T]$ is Gorenstein of codimension four.

Proof The theorem follows immediately from Theorem 5.5 (since the diagram is defined over $\mathbb{Z}$), Theorem 3.2, and [PR] Theorem 1.5. QED

Remark 5.7 It is easy to see that in the case of the unprojection of the generic integral Tom, or more generally if S is arbitrary and I is sufficiently general, the unprojection ideal $(P_i, Tz_i - g_i) \subset S[T]$ is generated by 9 elements and has 16 syzygies.

Example 5.8 We will calculate the equations of the unprojection of the Tom matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} . & x_1 & x_1x_2z_2 & x_3 & x_3 \\ & . & 0 & x_3z_3z_1 + z_2 & z_3 \\ & & . & z_4 & z_1 \\ -\text{sym} & & & . & 0 \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix}$$

We make the choice $a_{24}^1 = x_3 z_3, a_{24}^3 = 0$ and replace A with

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & t_4 \\ & \cdot & 0 & x_3 z_3 z_1 + z_2 & z_3 \\ & & \cdot & z_4 & z_1 \\ -\text{sym} & & & \cdot & 0 \\ & & & & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$Q' = \begin{pmatrix} -t_3 & 0 & 0 & t_4 \\ t_4 x_3 z_3 & t_4 & -t_3 & 0 \\ t_1 & 0 & -t_2 & 0 \\ -t_2 x_3 z_3 & -t_2 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$H'_4 = (t_4 t_4 t_2, t_4(-t_4 x_3 z_3 t_2 + t_1 t_3), t_4 t_1 t_4, t_4 t_2 t_3).$$

Therefore, the unprojection equations are

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= x_3(x_1 x_2 z_2), & g_2 &= -x_3 x_3 z_3(x_1 x_2 z_2) + x_1 x_3, & g_3 &= x_1 x_3, \\ g_4 &= (x_1 x_2 z_2) x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.9 (Del Pezzo surfaces) Using serial unprojection, we can explicitly construct the equations of del Pezzo surfaces. For example start with the smooth cubic hypersurface $X_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with equation $xz(x+z) - yw(y+w) = 0$ over $\mathbb{C}$. It is a general fact (see e.g. [Har] Section V.4) that it contains six lines which are mutually disjoint, for our purposes we write down four lines which are mutually disjoint:

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 : x = y = 0 & & l_2 : z = w = 0 \\ l_3 : x + z = y + w = 0 & & l_4 : x - w = x + y + z = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since X_3 is smooth, unprojection corresponds to blowing down a -1 curve.

We unproject the line l_1 in X_3 , to get the del Pezzo degree 4 surface $X_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, with equations $sx - w(y+w) = sy - z(x+z) = 0$, where s is the new coordinate.

Then we unproject the strict transform l'_2 of l_2 in X_4 . The line l'_2 has equations $s = z = w = 0$. Using Theorem 4.3, the unprojection is the degree

5 del Pezzo $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with equations given by the five Pfaffians $g_1, \dots, g_5$ of the skewsymmetric 5×5 matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} . & -x & w & -y & -z \\ & . & 0 & t & -(y+w) \\ & & . & x+z & s \\ -\text{sym} & & & . & 0 \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix}$$

where t is the new coordinate. This is a Tom format with respect to the line $l'_3 : t = s = x + z = y + w = 0$ (the strict transform of l_3), so we can unproject l'_3 . Using Theorem 5.6, we get the del Pezzo surface $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ (a hyperplane section of the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}^8$), with ideal

$$I(X_6) = (g_1, \dots, g_5, ut - xy, u(y+w) + xz, u(x+z) + yw, us + wz),$$

where u is the new coordinate. We leave it as an exercise to the interested reader to find the equations of the del Pezzo surface $X_7 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ arising as unprojection of the strict transform of l_4 inside X_6 (compare also Remark 5.6).

5.7 Jerry

The Jerry unprojection family has many similarities with the Tom family, we will avoid repeating the arguments when they are almost identical.

Assume S is a commutative Noetherian ring and $x_i, z_k, c^k, a_i^k, b_i^k \in S$ for $1 \leq k \leq 4$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and consider the 5×5 skewsymmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} . & c & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ & . & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ & & . & x_1 & x_2 \\ -\text{sym} & & & . & x_3 \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$a_i = \sum_{k=1}^4 a_i^k z_k, \quad b_i = \sum_{k=1}^4 b_i^k z_k, \quad c = \sum_{k=1}^4 c^k z_k.$$

The *Jerry* ideal corresponding to A is the ideal $I \subset S$ generated by the Pfaffians of A . Notice that $I \subset J = (z_1, \dots, z_4)$.

If S is the polynomial ring over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$ with indeterminates $x_i, z_k, c^k, a_i^k, b_i^k$ (indices as above) we call I the *generic integral Jerry* ideal.

The proof of the following theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 5.10 *The generic integral Jerry ideal I is prime of codimension three and S/I is Gorenstein.*

We work with the generic integral Jerry ideal I . Write $I = (P_1, \dots, P_5)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= b_1x_3 - b_2x_2 + b_3x_1 \\ P_2 &= a_1x_3 - a_2x_2 + a_3x_1 \\ P_3 &= cx_3 - a_2b_3 + a_3b_2 \\ P_4 &= cx_2 - a_1b_3 + a_3b_1 \\ P_5 &= cx_1 - a_1b_2 + a_2b_1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Unlike in the Tom case, we only have two Pfaffians, P_1 and P_2 , linear in z_k . P_3 is quadratic in z_k , but after choosing to consider a_2, a_3 as indeterminates it can be considered linear. Using this convention we write

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{pmatrix} = Q \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.11}$$

Q is a 3×4 matrix, with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1k} &= b_1^kx_3 - b_2^kx_2 + b_3^kx_1 \\ Q_{2k} &= a_1^kx_3 - a_2^kx_2 + a_3^kx_1 \\ Q_{3k} &= c^kx_3 - a_2b_3^k + a_3b_2^k \end{aligned}$$

We define h_i by

$$\bigwedge^3 Q = (h_1, \dots, h_4).$$

($\bigwedge$ as in Definition 4.1.)

Lemma 5.11 *For $i = 1, \dots, 4$ there exist polynomials K_i, L_i with*

$$h_i = x_3K_i + (a_2x_2 - a_3x_1)L_i.$$

Therefore, we can write

$$h_i = x_3(K_i + a_1L_i) - L_iP_2.$$

Proof Let M be the matrix obtained from Q by substituting $x_3 = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1k} &= x_1 b_3^k - x_2 b_2^k \\ M_{3k} &= -a_2 b_3^k + a_3 b_2^k \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & -x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -a_2 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_3^1 & b_3^2 & b_3^3 & b_3^4 \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & M_{23} & M_{24} \\ b_2^1 & b_2^2 & b_2^3 & b_2^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

The lemma follows from elementary properties of determinants. QED

We fix the polynomials K_i, L_i defined (implicitly) in the proof of Lemma 5.11. For $i = 1, \dots, 4$ we define polynomials g_i by

$$g_i = K_i + a_1 L_i. \quad (5.12)$$

Using (5.11) and Theorem 5.10, the following lemma follows in the same way as Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 5.12 *For all i, j we have $g_i z_j - g_j z_i \in I$.*

Consider as in Subsection 5.4 the Koszul complex $\mathbf{M}$ resolving S/J , the complex $\mathbf{L}$ resolving the ring S/I , and define the 4×1 matrix D_3 with

$$D_3 = (-g_4, g_3, -g_2, g_1)^t,$$

where the g_i are as in (5.12).

Except from the part $D_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following theorem follows immediately using the arguments in the proof of Theorem 5.5.

Theorem 5.13 *There exist matrices D_2, D_1, D_0 (of suitable sizes) making the following diagram commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{C_3} & S^5 & \xrightarrow{C_2} & S^5 & \xrightarrow{C_1} & S \\ & & D_3 \downarrow & & D_2 \downarrow & & D_1 \downarrow & & D_0 \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{B_4} & S^4 & \xrightarrow{B_3} & S^6 & \xrightarrow{B_2} & S^4 & \xrightarrow{B_1} & S \end{array}$$

Moreover, we can assume that $D_0 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The part $D_0 = 1$ follows, as in the Tom case, by a specialization argument using the original Jerry which we now define.

Original Jerry Write $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ for the image of the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Y is projectively Gorenstein of codimension four. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ be the image of the projection of Y from the point $((1,0), (1,0), (1,0)) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. If $z_1, \dots, z_4, x_1, \dots, x_3$ are homogeneous coordinates for $\mathbb{P}^6$, the homogeneous ideal of X is given (compare [Ki], Example 6.10) by the Pfaffians of the skewsymmetric matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} . & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 \\ & . & 0 & z_3 & z_4 \\ & & . & x_1 & x_2 \\ & & & & x_3 \\ & & & & . \end{pmatrix}$$

We call X the *original Jerry*.

Local Jerry Let S be a Gorenstein local ring, $a_i^k, b_i^k, c^k \in S$ and $x_i, z_k \in m$, the maximal ideal of S , with indices as above. Let A be the skewsymmetric matrix defined in (5.9), I the ideal generated by the Pfaffians of A (see (5.10)) and $J = (z_1, \dots, z_4)$.

We assume that $z_1, \dots, z_4$ is a regular sequence and that I has codimension three, the maximal possible. Since S is Cohen–Macaulay, the grade of I is also three. By Theorem 2.1, the complex $\mathbf{L}$ defined in (2.1) is the minimal resolution of S/I and S/I is Gorenstein.

Recall that in (5.12) we defined elements g_i which are polynomials in a_i^k, b_i^k, c_i^k, z_k and x_i . Define a map $\psi: J/I \rightarrow S/I$ with $z_i \mapsto g_i$. By res we denote the residue map defined in (3.1).

The proof of the following theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 5.14 *The element $\text{res}(\psi) \in S/J$ is a unit, and the ideal*

$$(P_1, \dots, P_5, Tz_1 - g_1, \dots, Tz_4 - g_4)$$

of the polynomial ring $S[T]$ is Gorenstein of codimension four.

Remark 5.15 As in the Tom case, it is easy to see that in the case of the unprojection of the generic integral Jerry, or more generally if S is arbitrary and I is sufficiently general, the unprojection ideal $(P_i, Tz_j - g_j) \subset S[T]$ is generated by 9 elements and has 16 syzygies. As already mentioned in the introduction, it would be very interesting to find out whether all known

Gorenstein codimension four rings with 9×16 resolution are related to the Tom and Jerry unprojection families. That would probably need an intrinsic characterization of Tom and Jerry, which at present is not known.

6 Final comments on calculating unprojection

Remark 6.1 In all three families of unprojection data $I \subset J \subset S$ we handled, $J = (w_1, \dots, w_{r+1})$ was generated by a regular sequence, and the treatment was roughly as follows: Choose r suitable elements $v_1, \dots, v_r$ of I , use Cramer rule with respect to the matrix Q that expresses the v_i as combination of the w_j , and then (in the Tom and Jerry cases) divide by a common factor. I believe that whenever J is generated by a regular sequence the steps will be similar, the question is to give a unified treatment of all such cases.

Remark 6.2 The case where J is not a complete intersection seems to be more mysterious. Cramer's rule definitely does not work, as it can be seen from [KM] Example 2.1.

Remark 6.3 If one could give an interpretation of the residue epimorphism (3.1)

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S/I}(J/I, \omega_{S/I}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} \omega_{S/J} \rightarrow 0$$

using differentials, then, in principle, he should be able to have a clean and easy calculation of unprojection avoiding complexes.

Remark 6.4 All three families of unprojection we calculated belong to the type I unprojection (see [Ki], Section 9), since the ring S/J is Gorenstein. Reid and his coworkers have discovered (at least) three more types of unprojection, which they call Types II-IV, see loc. cit. and [R2]. Since in Theorem 3.2 we need S/J to be Cohen–Macaulay, our methods can also handle Type III unprojections, but need improvement in order to calculate Type II and IV.

Acknowledgements I am grateful to Miles Reid for suggesting the problem and many useful conversations, and to David Mond and Frank–Olaf Shreyer for important suggestions. This work is part of a Warwick PhD thesis, financially supported by the Greek State Scholarships Foundation.

References

- [Al] Altınok S., *Graded rings corresponding to polarised K3 surfaces and $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds*. Univ. of Warwick Ph.D. thesis, Sep. 1998, 93+ vii pp.
- [AK] Altman, A. and Kleiman, S., *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 146. Springer–Verlag 1970
- [BE] Buchsbaum D. and Eisenbud D., *Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3*. Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 447–485
- [BH] Bruns, W. and Herzog, J., *Cohen-Macaulay rings*. Revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39. CUP 1998
- [BrR] Brown G. and Reid M., *Mory flips of Type A* (provisional title), in preparation
- [BV] Bruns, W. and Vetter, U., *Determinantal rings*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1327. Springer 1988
- [CM] Corti A. and Mella M., *Birational geometry of terminal quartic 3-folds I*, math.AG/0102096, 37 pp.
- [CPR] Corti A., Pukhlikov A. and Reid M., *Birationally rigid Fano hypersurfaces*, in Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds, A. Corti and M. Reid (eds.), CUP 2000, 175–258
- [CFHR] Catanese, F., Franciosi, M., Hulek, K. and Reid, M., *Embeddings of curves and surfaces*. Nagoya Math. J. **154** (1999), 185–220
- [FOV] Flenner, H., O’Carrol, L. and Vogel, W., *Joins and intersections*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer–Verlag 1999
- [Har] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 52. Springer–Verlag 1977
- [Ei] Eisenbud, D., *Commutative algebra, with a view toward algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer–Verlag 1995
- [KL] Kleppe H. and Laksov D., *The algebraic structure and deformation of Pfaffian schemes*. J. Algebra **64** (1980), 167–189
- [KM] Kustin, A. and Miller, M., *Constructing big Gorenstein ideals from small ones*. J. Algebra **85** (1983), 303–322

- [PR] Papadakis, S. and Reid, M., *Kustin–Miller unprojection without complexes*, to appear in J. Algebraic Geometry, math.AG/0011094, 15 pp.
- [R1] Reid, M., *Nonnormal del Pezzo surfaces*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), 695–727
- [R2] Reid, M., *Examples of Type IV unprojection*, math.AG/0108037, 16 pp.
- [Ki] Reid, M., *Graded Rings and Birational Geometry*, in Proc. of algebraic symposium (Kinosaki, Oct 2000), K. Ohno (Ed.) 1–72, available from www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~miles/3folds
- [UAG] Reid, M., *Undergraduate Algebraic Geometry*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 12. CUP 1988
- [T] Takagi, H., *On the classification of $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano 3-folds of Gorenstein index 2*. I, II, RIMS preprint 1305, Nov 2000, 66 pp.

Stavros Papadakis
 Karaiskaki 11, Agia Paraskevi
 GR 153 41, Attiki
 Greece
 email: stavrospapadakis@hotmail.com
 web: www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~miles/doctors/Stavros/